High performance computing with GROMACS

Berk Hess

hess@cbr.su.se Center for Biomembrane Research Stockholm University, Sweden CBR

GROMACS history

- Started in early 90's in Groningen (Netherlands)
- Originally parallel hardware and software
- Initially, focus has been mainly on high performance on small numbers of processors
- Development of novel, efficient algorithms
- Highly efficient implementation

• The past few years: focus on parallel scaling GPL, http://www.gromacs.org

GROMACS

GROningen MAchine for Chemical Simulation

Core developers:
 David van der Spoel (Groningen → Uppsala)
 Berk Hess (Groningen → Mainz → Stockholm)
 Erik Lindahl (Stockholm)

 Newer developers: Gerrit Groenhof (Groningen → Göttingen) Carsten Kutzner (Göttingen) Roland Schultz (Oak Ridge) Sander Pronk (Stockholm)

Improving performance

Increasing the time step: Use bond constraints, LINCS algorithm (2 fs) Remove H-vibrations with virtual sites (5 fs) Performance increase: factor 2 or more! Reducing the time per step Efficient algorithms and code Run in parallel over many processors

LINCS: Hess, Bekker, Fraaije, Berendsen J. Comp. Chem. 18, 1463 (1997) virtual sites: Feenstra, Hess, Berendsen, J. Comp. Chem. 20, 786 (1999)

GROMACS Approaches

- Algorithmic optimization:
 - No virial in nonbonded kernels
 - Single precision by default (cache, BW usage)
 - Tuning to avoid conditional statements such as PBC checks
 - Triclinic cells everywhere: can save 15-20% on system size
- Optimized 1/sqrt(x)
 - Used ~150,000,000 times/sec
 - Handcoded asm for ia32, x86-64, ia64, Altivec, VMX, BlueGene (SIMD)



GROMACS 4.0

GROMACS 4.0 released October 2008



Hess, Kutzner, Van der Spoel, Lindahl; JCTC 4, 435 (2008)

8th-shell decomposition



8th-shell 1/4 of the communication of half-shell

8th shell: Liem, Brown, Clarke; Comput. Phys. Commun. 67(2), 261 (1991) Midpoint: Bowers, Dror, Shaw, JCP 124, 184109 (2006)

Dynamic load balancing

Causes of load imbalance: Atom imhomogeneity Inhomogeneous interaction cost Statistical fluctuation Full, 3D dynamic load balancing required

• Hardware cycle counters



Triclinic, 2D example

MPMD force calculation

- PME = rapid Ewald summation
- Ubiquitous in simulations today
- Small 3D FFT's scale badly: All-to-All communication
- Real space & PME are independent
- Dedicate a subset of nodes to run a separate PME-only version of the program to improve scaling



FFT over 4 cores instead of 16 cores

Parallel constraints

- Constraints required for 5 fs time steps
- Parallel LINCS algorithm: P-LINCS
- LINCS has a (short) finite interaction range
- First efficient parallel constraint algorithm

Hess; JCTC 4, 116 (2008)







Flowcharts



mut in list(hHave

Flowcharts





3.3







Performance (old slide)





200,000 atoms

1 μs in 3-4 weeks using 170 CPUs: 50x longer than previously possible

DLB in action

8x6=48 PP cores
16 PME cores
protein: "slow"
lipids: fast



Algorithm efficiency

Protein system:
T4-lysozyme
H₂O, Cl⁻
24199 atoms
1 nm cut-off
PME



Scaling limits

Without Particle-Mesh-Ewald Weak scaling: no limit Strong scaling: ~300 atoms per core With Particle-Mesh-Ewald \bullet "1D"-PME, 100's of cores **GROMACS 4.1: "2D"-PME, 1000's of cores** GROMACS 5: Multi-grid?

Membrane protein





Sophie Schwaiger



not in list(h)

0.5 µs simulation

0.5 µs simulation



Recent GROMACS & hardware developments

PDC PRACE prototype

PRACE test machines with 4x6 AMD cores
24 core nodes connected with Infiniband

Issue: 24 cores share a network connection



Global summation

- Most thermo/barostats need global summation
 But this can be relatively VERY expensive
 Avoid when possible!
- GROMACS 3-step summation procedure:
 MPI_Reduce, 24 cores
 MPI_Allreduce, N nodes
 MPI_Bcast, 24 cores

Global summation

- Most thermo/barostats need global summation
- But this can be relatively VERY expensive
- Avoid when possible!
- GROMACS 3-step summation procedure:
 MPI_Reduce, 24 cores
 MPI_Allreduce, N nodes
 MPI_Bcast, 24 cores



PRACE scaling

Gromacs scaling on 24-core AMD blade PRACE prototype



331,776-atom system, reaction-field, 2fs steplength

Multi-million atom biological system

Cellulose, H₂O, lignocellulosic biomass (biofuel) No charged groups -> reaction-field (no PME) 3.3 million atoms

Schultz, Linder, Petridis, Smith; JCTC (2009)



10k scaling







What is the limit?

100M atoms? 100k cores?

GROMACS 4.0: linear scaling algorithms
But still practical limitations:

File system access at start-up (fexist)
Data distribution at start-up
Still some O(#atoms) memory allocation

A large machine

JaguarPF at Oak Ridge

Cray XT5
150 000+ AMD Opteron 2.3 GHz cores
SeaStar 2+ interconnect
Upgrade planned to 450 000 cores

Scaling to 150 000



#cores

GROMACS outlook

Large systems:
Improve electrostatics scaling
Medium systems:
Combine MPI with threads

Small systems:

 Distributed computing: GROMACS on Folding@Home